



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/434,703	11/05/1999	ERIC O. BODNAR	LS/0001.01	4272

7590

08/14/2002

JOHN A SMART
708 BLOSSOM HILL RD #201
LOS GATOS, CA 95032

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/434,703

Applicant(s)

Bodnar et al.

Examiner

Luong Nguyen

Art Unit

2612



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luong Nguyen

(3) _____

(2) John Smart

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 13, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 21, 41

Identification of prior art discussed:

Rabbani et al. patent.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Smart explained the invention and stated that Rabbani et al. patent does not disclose "performing color interpolation at the second device" and "without performing color interpolation at the first devcie" as amended in claim 1. Rabbani et al. also does not disclose "without having performed color processing at the first device" as amended in claims 21, 41. The amended claims appear to overcome the cited prior art. The Examiner needs to have a new search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Luong Nguyen

Examiner's signature, if required